Low-Latency Communications and the Internet Architecture

Mobile Networks, Analytics, and Edge Computing Workshop, Technical University of Munich

> Jari Arkko September 2017

Based on draft-arkko-arch-low-latency (co-author: Jeff Tantsura)

Goals

- Trying to understand what the recent interest on low-latency / critical communications applications implies for the Internet
- New work for improving latency? Architectural evolution?
- Work in progress; please contribute!

Recent General Requirements for Low-Latency Communications

- Tactile Internet requires 1 ms reaction time
- Self-driving cars require 1ms latency
- Mission-critical 5G MTC requires low latency & high reliability and availability

Plenty of Wild Claims in This Space



This comic strip was created at MakeBeliefsComix.com. Go there to make one yourself!

Does The World Care about Low-Latency?

- Data centers distributed around the globe
- Including content served from operator premises
- Advanced optimisation techniques for connecting to data centers (DNS etc)
- Industry working HTTP2, QUIC, TLS.1 (0-RTT), L4S, DETNET, 802.1 TSN, 5G radios, ...
- SDN and SFC can optimise long chains of processing functions
- Industry working on ServiceWorker, AMP, ...

Clearly caring, and in some cases paying a price for low latency

Lets Recap To Be Clear

- Latency in L2 is being improved
- Latency in routing/forwarding is being improved
- Latency in transport is being improved
- Latency in security is being improved
- Latency in application protocols is being improved
- Network deployments are changing to take into account latency

All Done? Or Work Ahead?

- Not necessarily the big revolution some might claim; a lot of the tools are there
- Obviously much of this is work in progress
- But, the Internet may be changing and this would cause strain for the architecture

Architectural Thoughts 1

- Need to consider the system whenever thinking about this topic
- Trend of service placement in different locations: from global datacenters to more regional ones, cooperative solutions, edge computing
- Impacts on architectures that employ tunnelling
- There are and will be demands on cross-layer optimisation, is that a good thing?

Architectural Thoughts 2

- Tension between local networks (e.g., cars braking and informing nearby cars) and Internet-networking
- Designing applications entirely in their own silo vs. applications that also talk to peers in the Internet
- Tension between application/edge and network control of forwarding decisions (MPTCP vs. routing)
- Deployment story for new QoS or low-latency tech
 - Findings from claffy & Clark: https://www.caida.org/ publications/papers/2015/

Opportunities

- There is willingness to change & deploy new tech
- Virtualization technology allows us to move elements more freely than before
- And there is willingness to deploy resources closer to users on a global scale (perhaps even to edge computing)
- We have a more realistic ability to use multi-path communications than perhaps before

Thank you